

Datchet Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Meeting Minutes

Date & Time	Wednesday 10 th March 2021 4.30 – 5.40 p.m.
Venue	Video conference meeting
Attendees	Alison Crampin, Fiona Cryle, Marjorie Clasper, Jo Stickland and David Buckley
Secretary	Jane Simpson
Guests	Cllr. David Cannon joined the meeting

Agenda Item		Action
1.	<u>Apologies for absence and announcements</u> None.	
2.	<u>Minutes of the previous meetings and matters arising</u>	
2.1	Minutes of the DNPSG meetings held on 10.2.21 (Monthly meeting), were agreed for accuracy and signed.	
2.2	Item 2.2 – As FC still hadn't heard from Thames Water, it was discussed whether to contact Parish Clerk Katy Jones for Land Registry details. JSt knew land ownership details for land at the rear of Ditton Road alongside Datchet Common Brook. The group was not aware of other sites in the village owned by Thames Water and it was decided not to pursue the issue.	
2.3	Item 2.3 – AC had received a copy of a GIS map layer from Sarah Harper RBWM Conservation Officer which indicates notable non-listed buildings in Datchet. These were all on our list except White Lodge in Southlea Road.	
2.4	Item 5.1 – Feedback on draft DNP. DB apologised and said he would email his comments after the meeting. We are also waiting for feedback from EL.	DB/EL
2.5	Item 8 – Highways England had erected fencing and planted trees alongside the Datchet approach to the new Riding Court Road bridge over the M4. AC had also noticed a huge telecommunications mast there too.	
2.6	Minutes of the meeting held on the 18th February 2021 to discuss Table 10.1 Non-Policy Actions were agreed for accuracy and signed.	
2.7	Item 3 – Public Rights of Way. DB had not been able to speak to Cllr Mary Fitzgerald, DPC Lead Member for Highways, regarding which Parish Council Committee dealt with footpaths/right of ways but would do so. He will also find out who is responsible for updating the DPC's Public Rights of Way leaflet as this does not include NCN61 and footpaths in North Datchet. JSt said when she was a councillor she used to walk the footpaths several times a year to report on their condition. AC advised that Cllr Allen Corcoran had agreed to walk the paths and report on their condition for RBWM's Milestones Statement Consultation on footpaths, and had begun.	DB

Agenda Item		Action
2.8	Item 9. JS had emailed Highways England who advised of works being undertaken to the culvert at the bottom of the M4 verge by The Myrke. The group had noticed that changes were being made to the splay at the junction of Slough Road and The Myrke. It was thought a roundabout might be planned there.	
2.9	Minutes of the meeting held on the 1st March 2021 to discuss flooding were agreed for accuracy and signed.	
2.10	Item 6.1. Flooding. JSt. said that in the Parish Office there is a map which shows water courses/ditches/drains which she believes came from RBWM. AC to ask KJ for details so that we can order a copy from RBWM. DC shared a link to the EA's main river map which includes drains: https://environment.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=17cd53dfc524433980cc333726a56386	AC
2.11	Item 6.2. Flooding. DB had forwarded scans of hand-drawn 2014 flood maps received from Cllr Ian Thompson. Their accuracy was questioned. AC said they omitted to show how floodwater flowed from the golf course entrance through 16 Buccleuch Road's garden to the railway line which was relevant if a new bund was being proposed at the golf course. It was also thought that the details for the High Street were incorrect.	
2.12	Item 7.3. Flooding. AC had sent EL Eton NP's wording on floor levels and was waiting for his comments. Their NP says: In Flood Zones 2 and 3, floor levels should be situated a minimum of 300mm above the 1 in 100 year river flood level, including allowances for climate change (see SFRA).	EL
2.13	Item 7.6. Permeable Driveways. 17 Slough Road had just concreted their driveway. DC suggested we report it to RBWM and copy him in. JSt. to action. Similar works undertaken by the garage had been reported but nothing done. DB to follow up and copy in DC.	JSt DB
2.14	Item 10. Photographs. AC thanked JSt. for the 1947 flood pictures. EL had also said he would send some 2014 images. AC requested any photographs showing 2014 flooding.	All
3.	<u>Housekeeping</u> EL form of acceptance still awaited. All others received.	EL
4.	<u>DNP Website/Facebook</u> 4.1 AC had put the Datchet Design Guide on the DNP website, and details of our latest surveys. 4.2 JSt. advised of a survey being undertaken to enable RBWM to get a true picture of housing needs for the Gypsy/Romany/Traveller community. There was discussion about a discrepancy between the estimated and actual number of Gypsy/Romany/Traveller people in Datchet. It was thought the actual number is much higher than that noted in the Arc4 Survey; also that 'tolerated' sites were occupied by people other than the Gypsy/Traveller community. The needs of boat residents should be considered too.	

Agenda Item		Action
	<p>It was suggested to add a line to the DNP saying that 'Consideration should be given to adding alternatives to bricks and mortar dwellings to the housing stock'. This is a question for CB when we meet with him.</p> <p>JSt. to email the questionnaire so AC can add it to DNP FB page.</p>	<p>AC/CB</p> <p>JSt./AC</p>
<p>5.</p> <p>5.1</p>	<p><u>DDG Publicity</u></p> <p>AC had sent a monthly update to DPC offering to provide a copy of the DDG to put on the DPC website but had not received a response. DB agreed to ask DPC again.</p> <p>It was agreed that, once lockdown was over, copies could be put in the library and The Bridge. It was suggested that a leaflet could go out with the Council Tax notification but DC advised the deadline had past.</p> <p>DB suggested putting leaflets through doors but JS said The Link already had the relevant information in it. AC said we had written about the DDG a number of times in The Link and produced leaflets prior to the consultation. It was suggested DPC could pay for an advisory leaflet for distribution around the village to raise awareness.</p> <p>DB asked whether the DDG had been adopted and was advised that it had and that he could start using it in DPC's response to applications. AC had contacted VG who said they just had to wait 28 days in case there was a legal challenge and then it was officially adopted as an SPD.</p> <p>AC said there was a planning application this month which included removing a section of garden for parking. The DDG includes suggestions for planting strips and bin storage which would be relevant. DB said he would start using the DDG.</p>	<p>DB</p> <p>DB</p>
<p>6.</p> <p>6.1</p> <p>6.2</p> <p>6.3</p> <p>6.4</p> <p>6.5</p>	<p><u>Policy draft</u></p> <p>AC had sent our info and comments on the draft DNP to CB who has advised he would be free to look at it at the end of March/beginning of April.</p> <p>MC to contact Chris Pearse, RBWM, to ask if they had any objection to their section of the riverside being designated a local green space.</p> <p>FC to contact Louise Freeth, RBWM regarding housing data.</p> <p>AC/MC had begun on the list of NDHA and had sent JK their drafts for comment. JK is writing the evidence for the Pharmacy and the Manor Hotel. AC will convene another meeting, after 4.30pm, to discuss the criteria and scoring system and go through the drafts which have been completed. MC advised that after the March DPC meeting she will have to revise her form about the Library as remedial work to the roof appears to be necessary. With regard to the proposed closure of the library, DPC is intending to put forward a proposal to protect it. DC is also working to prevent its closure.</p> <p>AC is waiting to hear from Tomas Pugh-Cook, RBWM, about unadopted roads. AC advised DC that our concern is that in the RBWM's Cycle Action Plan, a cycle route is proposed along the private sections of London Road. Also Lawn Close, which has no pavements, is the most direct walking route from AL39 to the Rec and nearby locations. DC advised that with money from the S106/CIL agreement the Borough may wish to adopt the</p>	<p>MC</p> <p>FC</p> <p>AC</p> <p>AC</p>

Agenda Item		Action
6.6	<p>road/acquire the land to ensure a safe route. DC advised that the money received from the government for the low traffic neighbourhoods is likely to be retained by RBWM to provide cycle routes and if this is the case then Datchet must try and get its fair share. Residents have suggested certain cycle routes and DC agreed to copy them to us. (DC then messaged the meeting to say the suggestion was for a route from Datchet to Windsor.)</p> <p>AC asked whether we need a meeting to discuss what we send to CB regarding flooding. JSt. suggested sending him AC's notes – Flooding discussion notes 1 March 2021. All agreed. AC to tweak and circulate for all to consider. She would wait until we hear back from CB before sending flooding and NDHAs.</p>	AC
7.	<p><u>DPC/Borough Councillors engagement</u></p> <p>AC said she had sent details of proposals for a new path through the restored Cemex site to DPC as they were responding to RBWM's milestones consultation. This path was supported by feedback from the DNP survey but had been dismissed by the chair at the DPC meeting who said they should focus on existing paths. (See also 2.7 above.)</p> <p>There was no additional information to give to DPC at the moment while we await feedback from CB. AC is keen to keep DPC in the loop so they are advised of our progress.</p>	
8. 8.1 8.2	<p><u>AOB</u></p> <p>DB asked who owned the Sequoia, p/a 21/00492 - Riverbank Management.</p> <p>DC advised that the building of 2600 houses on Maidenhead Golf Club had been approved. If it had not, other proposed housing sites might have come back into play, including those at Churchmead and St Augustine's</p>	
9.	<p><u>Date of Next Meeting</u></p> <p>Wednesday 12th April 2021 @ 4.30 p.m. – monthly meeting.</p> <p>Meeting to discuss NDHAs to be scheduled.</p>	