

Datchet Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Meeting Minutes

Date & Time	Wednesday 11 th August 2021 4.30 – 5.50 p.m.
Venue	Video conference meeting
Attendees	Alison Crampin, Marjorie Clasper, Jo Stickland, and Fiona Cryle (from 5.00 p.m.)
Secretary	Jane Simpson (until 5.30 p.m.)

Agenda Item		Action
1.	<u>Apologies for absence and announcements</u> David Buckley and Ewan Larcombe	
2.	<u>Minutes of the previous meeting and matters arising</u>	
2.1	Minutes of the DNPSG meeting held on 14.7.21 (Monthly meeting) were agreed for accuracy and signed.	
2.2	Items 2.8. EL emailed information as to the present position.	
2.3	Item 4. AC had added all the additional comments raised to the draft Plan and after adding this meeting's items will email it out to all.	AC
3.	<u>Housekeeping and Correspondence</u> There had been no correspondence except that CB had advised he is on holiday until 20.8.21.	
4.	<u>Policy Draft Revisions – Additional notes from FC, JSt, JS</u> FC's comments <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is it too long? It was agreed to ask CB about the length but more photos would enhance it as some sections are text-heavy. 2. Have we highlighted the Green Belt sufficiently? Yes but we could perhaps repeat government's policies to make sure residents are fully aware. Ask CB about adding something in 'history'/'local context' about the important archaeology. 3. Housing -affordable/rented. We cover affordable housing/SHMA at 4.3, p16, which highlights a need to focus on 2 and 3-bedroom properties in the market housing sector, with an emphasis on 1-bedroom units in the affordable sector. It was agreed that Datchet has a good number of 1 bed housing and that AL39 planning conditions and the Government First Homes policy, etc, will deliver more. Also downsizing will free up more 3 and 4-bed family accommodation. 4. Do we want to mention pop-up shops? It was agreed not to, as these are already allowed. (Pop-up shops in listed buildings might require special permission.) 	AC/CB

Agenda Item		Action
	<p>AC commented on the brightly coloured signage of the new barbers – conservation area?</p> <p>5. Converting empty shops into accommodation? Decided to leave and have each application considered individually. AC advised that the application for conversion to offices above the Datchet Food and Wine was refused.</p> <p>6. Have we said enough about commercial/retail? Yes.</p> <p>7 P8 2.11 – Leave as is.</p> <p>8 P. 15 – Decided not to insert abbreviation NDHA as we don't have a glossary.</p> <p>9 P15 agreed to add 'and does not detrimentally impact on the existing community's wellbeing' to objective 4</p> <p>10 P16 – Agreed to ask Chris about mentioning that DNP does not allocate specific sites for development nor support or object to any sites currently being considered by the emerging BLP.</p> <p>11 P16 – Agreed to add proposed sentence at 4.1 and renumber.</p> <p>12 P18 – Phasing of developments. Agreed to ask CB about this.</p> <p>13 P21 – Agreed to leave pictures as they are as this is how they appear in the DDG</p> <p>14 Flooding – see JS + FC additions documents.</p> <p>15 P44 – It was agreed to leave it as wildlife (which includes fish)</p> <p>16 P48 – should we be punchier about safety on The Green? See FC additions document.</p> <p>JSt. suggestions –</p> <p>P11 2.37 – JSt agreed to leave this in.</p> <p>P12 2.44 – After some discussion we agreed to delete. JS advised that the long-running Datchet Good Neighbours Scheme in Datchet was under-utilised now and perhaps that could also be a solution. It was agreed to add it to Section 10 – DPC to promote and support the Datchet Good Neighbours Scheme.</p> <p>JS and FC suggestions for flooding and drainage</p> <p>Ask CB about adding the note about significant risk and environmental impact and mention of widespread flooding in 2014 and photo(s). Ask CB about repeating NPPF rules on flood risk as residents won't be aware of these, and adding to DAT 10.</p> <p>7.27 – FC asked if we want to relate some history re: the bunds. Agreed to ask CB and give him some background about the protection offered by the bunds. Mention removal of Southlea bund in history section.</p> <p>DAT10 It was agreed that the groundwater is 'seasonally' high as written. Ask CB about adding 'No development should be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that it will not increase the existing flood risk (from surface or foul water/any source) to existing properties within the parish.</p> <p>7.47 Add that any additional defences should provide permanent, long term solutions and protection.</p> <p>FC's suggestions for Getting Around</p> <p>9.1 It was agreed to add pollution</p>	<p>AC/CB</p> <p>AC/CB</p> <p>AC/CB</p> <p>AC/CB</p> <p>AC/CB</p>

Agenda Item		Action
	<p>9.2 it was agreed to add 'legally' acceptable'posing a significant risk to health'</p> <p>9.3 It was agreed to add FC's proposed additions and to include cyclists as well as pedestrians.</p> <p>9.4 It was agreed to add that the NP supports the provision of improved public transport t reduce the volume of private car journeys.</p>	
5.	<p><u>Local Green Spaces/LWS – RBWM section of Riverside, Windsorview Lakes</u></p> <p>MC is still persevering with RBWM regarding their section of Riverside being designated LGS.</p> <p>AC had received a reply to her enquiry about the Local Wild Life Site but will now be asking about traffic implications.</p>	
6.	<p><u>NDHAs – Patrixbourne, North Green, Manor Hotel</u></p> <p>Owners of Patrixbourne very supportive of NDHA and advised of the vibration of heavy lorries using The Green. Simon at the Pharmacy is also happy with the inclusion.</p> <p>AC advised that the Manor Hotel was having an internal refurbishment and all were happy for her to send the write up which had been done.</p> <p>The Jubilee Cross – Andy had examined it and was happy to look and investigate about restoring it. AC agreed to send him the NDHA write-up.</p> <p>AC had noted that RBWM's list of NDHAs had included Yew Trees and White Lodge. (Swan Court application also referred to that being an NDHA.)</p>	<p>AC</p> <p>AC</p>
7. 7.1 7.2	<p><u>Public engagement</u></p> <p>DVS Drop-In: DNP documents were on display including all the Character Assessments previously shown at the drop-in day plus more recent ones for Southlea Road and Beaulieu Close; The Myrke (addition to Slough Road); Lawn Close, Fairfield and Montrose areas; Penn Road and New Road; Commercial Areas; Mill Place; and North Datchet were on display plus all the NDHA applications. One or two people commented that they were impressed by the quality of the work done and surprised and pleased by the efforts being made to keep Datchet as a village. Although some people were not familiar with the details of the DNP, they were aware of it and had read the articles in the Link.</p> <p>On the subject of the Link, it was agreed that Alison would send in her latest draft on the NDHAs.</p>	<p>AC</p>
8.	<p><u>DPC/Borough Councillors engagement</u></p> <p>We had not had any comments on the draft NP from our DPC representatives.</p> <p>David Cannon had not responded to DNP correspondence on flooding. Alison will write back. The group noted the latest Press Releases on</p>	<p>AC</p>

Agenda Item		Action
	Flooding from the Borough and from the Government. It was agreed that the latest LINK article should be sent to the DPC to keep them up to date with the latest work of the DNPSG, the NDHAs.	AC
9.	<p><u>Project Planner</u></p> <p>Fiona was working to bring it up to 2021. AC thought the last one was August 2020.</p>	FC
10.	<p><u>AOB</u></p> <p>10.1 Marjorie hoped that if the DNP had to be cut down, the history section would not suffer, as the initial survey had expressed how much Datchet residents valued the village's heritage.</p> <p>10.2 Marjorie gave an up-date on the Wellingtonia which was that two new applications (Works to Trees) had gone to the Borough – to warn of possible slight root damage to the Wellingtonia (and a lime tree) when the raft-piling works to correct subsidence were taking place. This was baffling in view of the request for permission to fell the tree.</p> <p>10.3 Fiona suggested that the next meeting could be face-to-face. Alison agreed to contact Katy about availability of the parish office. Alternatives could be the Library, Scout Hut (not Wednesdays) or the Bridge.</p> <p>10.4 Alison stated that she would add today's changes to the draft DNP, and circulate them for comment.</p>	AC AC
11.	<p><u>Date of Next Meeting</u></p> <p>Wednesday 8.9.21 @ 4.30 p.m. which could be in the DPC office.</p> <p>Other meetings this year – 13.10; 10.11; 8.12</p>	