

Meeting Notes

Date & Time	Monday 19 th February 2024 3.30 – 5.10 p.m.
Venue	Costa
Attendees	Alison Crampin, Marjorie Clasper, Jo Stickland. Ewan Larcombe attended briefly to advise on flooding issues (see Item 10)
Secretary	Jane Simpson

Agenda Item		Action
1 - 2	<u>Apologies for absence and announcement</u> No apologies received from absent members. No announcements.	
3.	<u>Terms of Reference</u> These were reviewed and EL’s addition to “monitor progress of enforcement action” was agreed at point 2(f). AC to insert.	AC
4.	<u>Status of group/adoption</u> The request for approval of proposed Terms of Reference and way of working has been sent to the Clerk. Sonia has advised she will review these, advise us of any changes, and then circulate to the working group for agreement and then adoption at the March DPC Meeting. AC to check progress at end of February. AC asked for EL’s help in asking the council to agree to our proposed way of working. It is nearly a year since the referendum and we would like to start implementing the DNP. We would like to work in the same way as we did for the DNP (as a community group with councillors’ involvement) as that was very effective. EL advised that it would be worth waiting until May as he anticipates changes at DPC.	AC
5.	<u>Housekeeping</u> (emails, website, funds etc.) AC advised that correspondence is still being copied to the DPNSG email address as a record, as before. JSt. advised that there was £6619.34 in the funds.	
6.	<u>Delivery of Objectives</u> It was agreed that we should go through the DNP at the next meeting to establish the priorities, low, medium and high, as per the terms of	Next meeting

Agenda Item		Action
	reference. It was also considered beneficial to arrange meetings with separate DPC Lead Members/stakeholders on specific DNP-related issues such as planning and grounds.	
7.	<p><u>Planning Applications</u></p> <p>JSt. is willing to extract Datchet PAs from RBWM’s weekly lists and enter on spreadsheet and email around so all can raise points which relate to the DNP. AC said it would be good to include references to specific sub-sections of policies, particularly DAT 2 (Character) and DAT 10 (Flooding).</p> <p>Present ones include:- Ditton Manor – Hotel development; Woollacombe – Roof extension; Car wash (behind Sienna) times/drainage, new drain was installed for the car wash; Datchet Green Motors – metal structure; Castle View House – dropped kerb; Datchet House listed wall; The Little Dutch House – roof extension. Datchet Food and Wine signage. Datchet Grill are appealing to the planning inspector against the decision with respect to the door. There is also an appeal underway for 27-29 Slough Road.</p> <p>It was not known why DPC had not yet received a legal opinion on their objections to AL39 from the barrister.</p>	
8.	<p><u>Working with DPC/Increasing awareness</u></p> <p>As above, it was agreed that once the group had been recognised, we would like to invite the Lead/Deputy Lead for Planning to a meeting to discuss issues, followed by other lead/deputy members.</p> <p>MC suggested that we ask for a regular DNP slot on the agenda when Working Groups are discussed.</p>	
9.	<p><u>Digital/paper records for archive</u></p> <p>It was agreed that all would go through their papers and give to AC, by the end of February, papers we felt should be kept as a record, e.g. reports, character assessments, etc, and then she would take them all to Sonia at the Parish Office for storage there or at Berkshire Records Office.</p>	JSt, MC, JS, AC
10. 10.1 10.2	<p><u>AOB</u></p> <p>10.1 <u>Flooding</u> – EL advised that the Flood and Coastal Committee was coming to visit the Jubilee River on 5 March 2024.</p> <p>10.2 EL further advised that Datchet, Wraysbury and Horton PCs were objecting to the Datchet to Hythe End Flood Improvement Measures. He said they do not want them; they want Channel 1 of the River Thames Scheme. AC who is also on the DHEFIM Community Liaison Group said that it wasn’t a decision-making group: the purpose of the group was to help communicate proposals and gather feedback. The EA has not published details of the measures they might propose as these are still being investigated and evaluated, to determine costs and benefits and assess whether funding might be available. The measures</p>	

Agenda Item		Action
<p>10.3</p> <p>10.4</p> <p>10.5</p> <p>10.6</p>	<p>might include repairs to flood embankments, improvements to land drainage channels, dredging, etc. AC asked EL where DB thought he could get the funding for Channel 1, as he had stated at the DHEFIM meeting and was told the Crown Estate.</p> <p>EL had a petition underway to unblock the Wraysbury Drain at Hythe End and had about 700 signatures to date. 1000 are needed.</p> <p>EL said that according to the Water Management Act of 2010 and Flood Risk Regulations of 2009, RBWM was responsible for ground and surface water flooding and for ordinary water courses and the Environment Agency for designated main rivers such as the Thames, Datchet Common Brook and Myrke Stream.</p> <p>AC had been receiving correspondence from a local architect about delays and issues with RBWM planning department. She has advised him to contact DPC LM for Planning and RBWM Cabinet member for Planning.</p> <p>AC said there had been a proposal at a DPC meeting to put a cemetery on the AL39 site. JSt thought that cemeteries were not allowed to be built in floodplains.</p>	
<p>11.</p>	<p><u>Date, Time, Venue of Next Meeting</u></p> <p>Thursday 7th March 2024 at 4.15p.m. at Costa. We will hopefully use the quieter Parish Office again when the group has been recognised.</p> <p>JS gave her apologies for the next meeting.</p> <p>At this meeting we will discuss priorities, as per terms of reference (item 6 above).</p>	