

**Meeting Notes**

<b>Date &amp; Time</b>	Monday 15 <sup>th</sup> July 2024 3.30 – 4.55 p.m.
<b>Venue</b>	Datchet Parish Council Offices
<b>Attendees</b>	Alison Crampin, Marjorie Clasper, Jo Stickland, Cllr. Ewan Larcombe
<b>Secretary</b>	Jane Simpson
<b>Guest</b>	

<b>Agenda Item</b>		<b>Action</b>
<b>1</b>	<p><b><u>Apologies for absence and announcement</u></b></p> <p>No response received from Cllr Tim O’Flynn. Cllr Darren Sanders was invited, and meeting arranged for his convenience, but he did not come. AC to contact DS. No announcements.</p>	AC
<b>2.</b>	<p><b><u>Notes and Matters Arising from the last meeting held on 6 June 2024</u></b></p> <p>Item 3.9 AC had sent June DMG notes to DPC clerk for circulation and asked that attention be drawn to our request to comment to RBWM on planning applications. We wished to highlight which DNP &amp; DDG policies were relevant, not to object/not object.</p> <p>AC had asked the clerk how to raise this and other DMG questions at DPC meetings and was told she could ask during the Working Groups section of the agenda. AC did this at the June meeting but the Chair said the question was not on the agenda and so could not be raised or put to the council. He expressed his personal view that the group should not comment to RBWM and he felt that the council would agree, but he said we can submit details to DPC, or comment as individuals to RBWM. DB said that if DMG wants to respond to RBWM as a DPC Group, we would need to ask for this to be added to the agenda. As AC thought she had already done this in June, she later requested clarification on the process. The clerk is looking into this but, in the meantime, has suggested that councillors on the DMG raise questions at DPC meetings.</p> <p>We discussed the Locality guidance booklet, <i>Neighbourhood Planning Implementation, Monitoring and Review</i>. This indicates that delivery groups are encouraged to make representations to planning authorities, highlighting how NP policies can apply to development schemes, and to monitor applications. Given the DPC chair made it</p>	

Agenda Item		Action
	<p>clear that he would not support this request, it was agreed not to ask for this to be added to the agenda for the August meeting. (See Item 6.)</p> <p>Item 4 AC had paid the 2-year website fee of £188.</p> <p>Item 7 The proposed DPC Working Groups were not formed so there was nothing to join.</p> <p>Notes agreed – AC signed.</p>	
3.	<p><b><u>Housekeeping (emails, website, funds etc.)</u></b></p> <p>No emails had been received. AC will post June notes on the website.</p> <p>Website fees had been paid. JSt advised that £46.34 interest had been paid into the account which now stood at £6595.04.</p>	AC
4.	<p><b><u>Introduction &amp; Overview for new DMG member, Darren Sanders – resources</u></b></p> <p>AC had prepared this but Darren did not attend the meeting.</p>	
5.	<p><b><u>Planning Applications and Enforcement Issues</u></b></p> <p>Current planning enforcement/applications being considered by the group include:</p> <p>From July DPC meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 14 Eton Road – AC had commented on this at the DPC meeting, mentioning DAT2, DDG Approach Routes, and the inspector’s comments on the appeal which mentioned the ‘attractive roof balustrade’. Cllr Jodie Grove had called this in. It was felt that DNG/DDG policies were relevant, as was the inspector’s response. It was agreed for JSt/AC to forward to JG the relevant information and planning history.</li> <li>- 4 Link Road – AC commented on this at DPC. DPC had objected last time but not this time. They changed their comment to ‘object’ due to Flood Zone 3, as previously. EL said he thought that work had commenced.</li> <li>- 4 Montagu Road – DPC recommended no objection but at the DPC meeting it was agreed to refer to Conservation Officer.</li> </ul> <p>General</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Hardware House – Ian Benbow, the architect, had advised AC that the recessed door would be reinstated but the latest application shows the door replaced with a flat window. This was felt unacceptable as it would retain the flush frontage which the planning inspector objected to. The enforcement officer had said she would remove the enforcement notice regarding the signage if the lighting was removed but this does not accord with the inspector’s comments. JSt to reiterate Inspector’s comments to enforcement as the signage is inappropriate in the Conservation Area.</li> <li>- Ian Benbow also told AC that Datchet Food and Wine has new owners who want to change the offices into residential use. They are also proposing new signage on the shop. AC had</li> </ul>	<p>JSt/AC</p> <p>JSt</p>

Agenda Item		Action
	<p>advised him to look at the DDG which is supported by DNP policy DAT3. We discussed the previous refusal to allow other village centre offices to be converted to residential use. We await the planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 1 Manor House – rear roof/in-fill extension/new detached garage with accommodation. No changes to the frontage but living accommodation above the rear outbuilding. JSt to review.</li> <li>- Koala House, 12A High Street – Enforcement Officer had looked into illegal car workshop but it is still operating. We have been told that this property is being used as a council HMO.</li> <li>- Poplars – DB said he would be taking photos from the river but he was not at July DPC meeting. RBWM had refused the extensions to the property, which the applicant claimed were permitted development, as they were over the flood plain allowance.</li> <li>- The Willows – work has stopped at the moment. A request has been made to RBWM to remove the condition relating to wooden double-glazing.</li> <li>- Land at Mill Place - EL said there was a DPC meeting to discuss the encroachment, on 23.7.24 at 6.00 p.m. It was likely to move to a Part II.</li> </ul>	JSt
6.	<p><b><u>Working with DPC and other stakeholders to deliver DNP/DDG objectives.</u></b></p> <p>EL advised that we should continue these meetings in tandem with the DPC meetings. DPC usually publishes proposed comments on planning applications on the day of the monthly meeting. It was agreed that JSt would send our comments regarding relevant DNP policies and DDG guidance to DPC on the Monday too, and we would raise any queries or issues relating to applicable DNP/DDG policy/guidance at the meeting.</p> <p>EL said he would be happy to call in any application which was not addressing the DNP policies.</p> <p><i><b>N.B.</b> Since the meeting it has been agreed to ask EL to consider calling in the Hardware House planning application.</i></p> <p>We have spoken to Susan Young (Strategic Plan) and to Caroline Wise (Highways/Cemeteries). We had hoped to speak to DS this time but will delay that to August meeting. If DS is not available, we will discuss inviting another DPC councillor(s) to explain how the DNP is relevant to their area of responsibility.</p>	JSt  EL
7.	<p><b><u>Heritage and the Conservation Area, signage</u></b></p> <p>It was proposed to investigate producing an information/guidance booklet for residents and businesses in the Conservation Area advising them of planning requirements and highlighting relevant DNP/DDG policy and advice. All were in agreement. JS said that we could afford to publish such a booklet.</p> <p>We discussed a CA leaflet which had been published many years ago by Sandra Needham in consultation with DPC and RBWM. Since then,</p>	AC

Agenda Item		Action
	<p>the DNP and DDG have introduced additional relevant policies and guidance which could be publicised. MC said it would be helpful to include maps of the CA. Also regulations regarding trees, etc.</p> <p>AC said she would draw attention to this at the next DPC meeting, under the agenda item on Working Groups, explaining that our proposals are at an early stage.</p>	
8.	<p><b><u>Publicising progress/delivery</u></b></p> <p>See proposal above, item 7. AC had published the meeting notes on the website. DMG representative(s) will continue attending DPC meetings. We continue to monitor planning applications, enforcement and appeals. (The government’s planning inspector used DNP/DDG policies in refusing the Hardware House appeals.)</p> <p>Copy deadlines for the Christmas issue of The Link were discussed.</p>	
9. 9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6 9.7 9.8	<p><b><u>AOB</u></b></p> <p>EL advised that 52 London Road still appeared empty but a hedge had been planted along the side road.</p> <p>EL said the lawns were disappearing down Lawn Close, and trees were being removed, to make room for car parking. (There are no pavements on this road. Pedestrian and car traffic is likely to increase with AL39.)</p> <p>Listed London Road Wall – Enforcement Officer involved. This has been an issue for years. EL confirmed there are now holes in it. The wall is in multiple ownership. Previously the enforcement team had sent letters to all houses in Deep Field, reminding them of their responsibility to maintain the wall. AC commented on a particularly bad section of deterioration, towards the golf club/Riding Court Road. It might be helpful to identify the owner of that section.</p> <p>Community Orchard – EL had signed the DRCCT Licence, a five-year renewing lease. It was noted that the Woodland Trust were still donating trees for community projects.</p> <p>Noise complaints received about Liquid Leisure during an event.</p> <p>The agenda for RBWM meeting on Wednesday night includes Redevelopment of Maidenhead Golf Course; Wraysbury Drainage Petition and Motion to re-send RTS letter to new government.</p> <p>The Manor Hotel is being refurbished for hotel use.</p> <p>We will need to monitor the NPPF following change of government.</p>	
10.	<p><b><u>Date, Time, Venue of Next Meeting</u></b></p> <p>To be arranged with Darren Sanders, hopefully during the week beginning 5<sup>th</sup> August 2024, before DPC meeting. AC will liaise.</p>	AC