

Venue	Datchet Parish Council Office
Time	4.30 pm
Attendees	Fiona Cryle (FC - Chair) Marjorie Clasper (MC) Alison Crampin (AC) Jo Stickland (JS) Sandra Needham (Secretary) Cllr Derek Wilson (DW-RBWM) Cllr Christine Bateson (CB-RBWM) Cllr Jesse Grey (JG-RBWM Ward Cllr) Robert Paddison (RP-RBWM) Helen Steward (HS-RBWM)
Apologies	Peter Hough

1. The Agenda items were moved to allow the Royal Borough representatives to discuss Neighbourhood Planning both generally and in relation to Datchet. The discussions were varied and wide ranging but covered the following:

1.1 Surveys should be treated with caution, and when used give a starting point (maybe refer to the Local Plan) so that answers can be compared easily.

1.2 RBWM has already suggested the three sites for new housing. If the draft BLP is adopted they will become Statutory and we cannot challenge them.

But we can influence the type of housing to be built. Datchet NP might include style of house, where, type, affordability, and mix of buy, rent or rent to buy. Maybe consider flats for key worker groups such as teachers, nurses etc run by registered Social Landlords such as Housing Solutions. We can also look at Transport in terms of pinch points or bus route accessibility and destinations but not traffic as such.

Health, Education, Open Spaces, Commercial, Community Facilities, Conservation Areas including Heritage,

These can be set in Neighbourhood Plan Policies linked to housing/planning policies.

1.3 Ascot & Sunnings is a good plan to study – CB agreed that a copy will be provided to Datchet by RBWM.

CB to provide plan

1.4 HS will produce the statistics to give evidence for housing trends. Will also check whether Datchet is urban or rural as well as providing tenure options.

HS to provide statistics

1.5 RP will provide a list of consultants who are planners.

RP to provide list of consultants

1.6 CB reminded the group that they can produce a light plan based only on one topic if that is preferred, with Old Windsor being an example of a light plan.

1.7 A by-pass can be in the plan as a Project, as can only be achieved through other agencies.

1.8 Data protection insurance is useful – may already be covered by DPC – **JS to check this**

1.9 RBWM infrastructure plan will not be available until April end earliest. There will be a 6 week consultation period.

1.10 In the Local Plan, all building from will be taken into account for final numbers.

1.11 Land at Cemex could not be built on for many years because of risk of subsidence. Could put this in as a project if residents felt strongly about it. 2013 RBWM originally considered 7 sites in Datchet. The 3 chosen are as a result of their call for sites in 2015 (ie owners prepared to sell) we probably can't challenge the location of the sites.

Building on the Green Belt was raised and the recent White Paper.. DW acknowledged it was not straight forward to build on it. There are various impediments. He highlighted special circumstances and gave the need to build a school as an example.

1.12 Vision Day will be the next step. Probably a whole day. Need to discover what residents see as the future of the village in X years time and what subjects are important now.

1.13 Businesses do not appear to be a high priority. Uncertainty about plans for the Manor Road industrial estate. **RP to clarify plans for estate**

1.14 Maybe use the Cemetery Chapel Open Day as an opportunity to garner information and interest in NP.

1.15 CB encouraged the group to make use of the Ward Councillors to clarify the issues.

1.16 Question as to whether pollution is an issue. Tests have been made and can be made available to the group. **JG to send pollution results**

2. At this point some of the Borough representatives had to leave and the Chair called the meeting to a close – to reconvene at a later date, not yet determined.