

## Datchet Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

### Meeting Minutes

<b>Date &amp; Time</b>	Tuesday 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2022 4.00 – 4.40 p.m
<b>Venue</b>	Zoom Meeting
<b>Attendees</b>	Alison Crampin, Jo Stickland & Ewan Larcombe
<b>Secretary</b>	Jane Simpson
<b>Guests</b>	None

<b>Agenda Item</b>		<b>Action</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b><u>Apologies for absence and announcements</u></b> Marjorie Clasper, David Buckley and Fiona Cryle sent apologies	
<b>2.</b> <b>2.1</b>	<b><u>Review documents to return to CB</u></b> <b><u>Consultation Statement Report v5</u></b> 2.13 It was agreed to add RBWM Environmental Protection (Air monitoring) in the first bullet point. 2.14 CB had recommended a one sentence summary for each survey. All agreed AC's wording and thought it was in the right place in the document. AC will remove one of the two 2.23's and renumber subsequent paragraphs. Also delete the date as details are in the project planner. 2.24 (renumbered as 2.25)– All happy with paragraph of RBWM's comments, with names redacted. 2.25 (2.26) – All agreed AC's proposed summary of consultation comments. 2.26 (2.27) – Date to be inserted after DPC ratify amended plan for Reg 16. 3.1 Even though AC has chased RBWM twice re responses on SEA/HRA from Historic England and Environment Agency, responses are still awaited. AC to chase again if no response received.. Appendix A – All agreed to append 5-page project plan. Appendix B – AC had removed email addresses for GDPR reasons. Appendix C – DNP's Comments by Chapter document will be inserted here. It was agreed to leave in the comment that Inland Homes asked for link for zoom meeting but as there were no other takers it did not happen.	AC
<b>2.2</b>	<b><u>Appendix C – DNP Comments by Chapter v3</u></b> All agreed to put RBWM's comments together at the end of the document. 2.34-2.35 – Reg14 text revision approved. 5.6 - It was agreed to keep the reference to DPC being encouraged to raise awareness of the DDG.	AC

Agenda Item		Action
2.3	<p>DAT 5 Views - MC had expressed concern that the revised text might made it sound as if no views were 'identified' in the village centre because they were not worth protecting. Text revised as follows: 'No specific views into the village were proposed as the Conservation Area status protects the settings of buildings of heritage value in the village and the attractive open views across The Greens. Also, the DDG protects the character of the Approach Routes.'</p> <p>7.42 – Heat pumps revision agreed.</p> <p>DAT 10 – Text revisions agreed.</p> <p>7.38 – 7.42 – Policy heading changed to Flooding, drainage and water efficiency. New clause F added to DAT10 as recommended by Thames Water, also section on water efficiency as recommended by CB with reference to Regulations.</p> <p>7.43 – It was agreed that trees were important natural flood defences and to ask CB's advice about including the proposed Woodland Trust text. Also to add that '<i>Retaining and</i>' planting trees can be effective in increasing water infiltration and reducing and slowing surface-water runoff.</p> <p>RBWM comments, Policy DAT7-B will be revised and 'built' deleted before 'development': Proposals for development on this Local Green Space will only be permitted in very special circumstances</p> <p>A note was added at the start of the document to indicate that the page and paragraph references related to the Reg14 version of the Plan.</p> <p>All happy with comments discussed – AC will amend and send back to CB to look at wording. Once CB's responds AC will update the Plan pdf with text changes indicated in comments boxes, and create a one-page summary of changes for DPC.</p> <p><u>Abbreviated project planner</u></p> <p>All felt that the 5-page project planner document would suffice rather than moving and rewriting existing sections. AC to circulate</p>	AC
3 3.1	<p><b><u>Schedule for presenting documents to DPC</u></b></p> <p>CB's offer to write Basic Conditions Statement had been accepted and CB expects it to be with us by the end of June, to forward to DPC. All agreed that we would aim to send the Consultation Statement Report including Appendix A project planner and Appendix C comments; DNP pdf with marked-up changes; one page summary of text changes and RBWM Strategic Environmental Assessment, 14 days before the July DPC meeting, and the Basic Conditions Statement at least 7 days before.</p> <p>The pros and cons of DB's suggestion that DNPSG should wait to the DPC's August meeting were discussed and it was agreed to aim for the July meeting so as not to delay the process. EL said that planning applications were now starting to come in to RBWM's planning department following the publication of the BLP. Also Inland Homes had been in touch with Borough Councillors.</p>	

Agenda Item		Action
<p><b>4.</b></p> <p><b>4.1</b></p>	<p><b><u>Changes to the designed version – Steve Miller</u></b></p> <p>It was agreed to send these to SM once DPC had approved the document and send the revised document to RBWM for Reg16/examination. AC had been in touch with SM to advise him of the approximate schedule. He has moved to Suffolk but is happy to continue with the project.</p>	
<p><b>5.</b></p>	<p><b><u>Any Other Business</u></b></p> <p>None.</p>	
<p><b>6.</b></p>	<p><b><u>Date of Next Meeting</u></b></p> <p>Thursday 23<sup>rd</sup> June 2022 at 4.00 p.m.</p> <p>Next monthly Steering Group Meeting TBC.</p>	